



Annex 2: Project proposal evaluation

Applicant's name:

Project title:

1. Introduction to the research topic (0-5 points)

5 points: High-quality and logical introduction to the topic, demonstrating the applicant's erudition and clearly indicating the need for further research (project objectives).

3 points: The applicant clearly proves his/her knowledge of the topic and draws on credible sources, however the text is rather a mere list of findings to date.

0 points: The applicant's knowledge of the topic and ability to build a logical text are not convincing.

Score:

Comments:

2. Project originality (0-10 point)

10 points: The topic is considerably novel and significant; only a limited number of similarly focused studies can be found in the given area.

5 points: Although not really a hot topic, the proposed research is of certain importance, currently being addressed by several renowned research groups.

0 points: The topic is not novel, nor is clear its importance.

Score:

Comments:

3. Project objectives (0-10 points)

10 points: The objectives are perfectly defined, as well as ambitious and achievable. The applicant has a clear idea of what should be achieved. The size of the research team is adequate.

5 points: The definition of the objectives is comprehensible; nevertheless, the formulation leaves room for doubt whether the applicant knows what exactly should be achieved, or the aims are overambitious.

0 points: The aims are defined rather vaguely, the applicant's research intention is not quite clear, or the aims are completely unachievable. The size of the research team is not adequate.

Score:

Comments:

4. Project methodology (0-10 points)

10 points: The steps to achieve the aims are described perfectly and in the required detail. The applicant has a clear idea of how the aims will be achieved, including the plan of analyses.



7 points: The steps to achieve the aims are clear and very well described; only in some places there are rather vague statements.

5 points: The steps to achieve the aims are comprehensible; however, the description contains a number of vague statements.

3 points: The methodology is not convincing; however, it may be assumed that the applicant has reflected upon it and will be able to refine it within the project.

0 points: The methodology is only general and the applicant does not have a clear idea of the individual steps to be taken yet.

Score:

Comments:

5. Readiness of the research team to implement the project and access to the required apparatuses and devices (0-5 points)

5 points: The research team has clearly defined roles and all the required equipment is available.

3 points: The research team does not have roles defined in a completely clear way, or only part of the required equipment is available for the project implementation.

0 points: The involvement of the individual members of the research team is not clear, neither is sure whether the research team has access to the required equipment.

Score:

Comments:

6. Project outcomes (0-10 points)

10 points: According to the overall quality of the project, there is high probability that important publication outcomes of international significance will be achieved.

5 points: According to the overall quality of the project, publication outcomes are very likely to be achieved.

0 points: According to the overall quality of the project, the project is not likely to result in at least one publication outcome.

Score:

Comments:

7. Overall evaluation of the project proposal

Strong points of the project:

Weak points of the project:

Other comments:

8. Total score of the project proposal (0-50 points)

The sum of points received for the criteria 1-6

Total score: